arachno-Diazapentaboranes

Stefan Küpper, Ullrich Englert, and Peter Paetzold*

Institut für Anorganische Chemie, Technische Hochschule Aachen, Templergraben 55, D-5100 Aachen, Federal Republic of Germany

Received 4 July 1990.

ABSTRACT

The reaction of appropriate amounts of BH_3 with diazadiboretidines $(RBNtBu)_2$ (1a-e; R = Et, Pr, Bu, tBu, $tBu(Me_3Si)N$) transforms them into cyclic diazapentaboranes either of the type [$-RB-(H_2)-$ BR-NtBu-BH-NtBu-](2a-c), of the type [-HB-(H_2)-BR-NtBu-BH-NtBu-] (3a-d), or of the type [$-HB-(H_2)-BH-NtBu-BH-NtBu-$] (4), or into an acyclic product RBH-NtBu-] (4), or into an acyclic product RBH-NtBu-] (4), or into an acyclic product RBH-NtBu-] (4), or into an acyclic product RBH-NtBu-BH-NtBu-BHR (2e). The structure of 3c is characterized by a planar N_2B_3 ring skeleton with an unsymmetrical double-hydrogen bridge.

RESULTS

The diazadiboretidines 1a-c [1-4] readily react with the borane thf \cdot BH₃ in a 1:1 ratio to give the fivemembered ring products 2a-c (Equation 1). The ¹H, ¹¹B, and ¹³C NMR spectra of 2a-c indicate two equivalent NtBu and RB groups. The terminal and the two bridging hydrogens give IR absorptions in the ranges of 2450-2590 and 1730-1770 cm⁻¹, respectively. Typical BH-NMR coupling constants comprise the range 120-137 Hz. The 2,4-diaminodiazadiboretidine le reacts in a similar way, but does not form a double hydrogen bridge in the product 2e (Equation 2). The chain character of 2e corresponds to a tendency of hydrodiaminoboranes HB(NR₂)₂ to avoid a dimerization via hydrogen bridges, the boron atom being electronically satisified by BN π bonds; the terminal BH bonds of **2a**-c document this tendency. The sterically overcrowded tetra-tert-butyldiazadiboretidine 1d does not react with thf \cdot BH₃ according to Equation 1. If a 1:1 ratio is employed, only half of the amount of 1d will be consumed, giving the product 3d, which will be quantitatively formed from 1d by applying a 1:2 ratio, according to Equation 3. In contrast to **1c** [1], the ring skeleton of **1d** deviates distinctly from planarity [5], and by expanding the four-membered to a hypothetical five-membered ring 2d the steric stress applied by four tBu groups would even be worse. Thus, if 2d was ever formed from 1d and BH₃, the consecutive transformation of 2d into 3d by the action of excess BH₃ would be faster than the reaction of remaining 1d with BH₃. The formation of **3a-c** can easily be achieved either by applying a 1:2 ratio of 1a-c and thf \cdot BH₃ (Equation 3) or also by the reaction of 2a-c and thf \cdot BH₃ in a 1:1 ratio.

Typical for the adopted structure of 3a-d in solution are the NMR spectra that reveal two different NtBu groups and three nonequivalent B atoms. Two of them show doublets indicating the presence of two BH groups. This structural picture is confirmed by a crystal structure determination of 3c. The remaining BR fragment of 3a-d will be replaced by BH, finally, by adding additional thf \cdot BH₃. The product 4 can also be directly synthesized from 1a-d by starting with a 1:3 ratio of the components (Equation 4). The NtBu groups and two of the three BH groups of 4 are equivalent, according to the NMR spectra.

Iminoboranes $RB \equiv NtBu$ [3], which correspond to their cyclodimers 1a-c, may be used instead of 1a-e for synthesizing the products 2-4. The more reactive of these iminoboranes (R = Et, Pr, Bu) cause unwelcome side products during their violent reaction with thf $\cdot BH_3$ at $-78^{\circ}C$. But the less reactive ones ($R = N(SiMe_3)tBu$, tBu) give good yields of 2e and 3d, respectively, at $-78^{\circ}C$, according to Equations 5 and 6. The product 4 may also be obtained from $tBuB \equiv NtBu$ directly (Equation 7). Since these iminoboranes are stable below $0^{\circ}C$, the diazadiboretidines 1d, e are not intermedi-

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed.



ates during reactions (5) and (6) at -78° C, though **1d**, **e** are the stabilization products of the corresponding iminoboranes at ambient temperature. Obviously, reaction (5) involves a hydroboration of the shorter BN bonds of two molecules of $tBu(Me_3Si)N \rightarrow B \rightarrow NtBu$ by thf $\cdot BH_3$. Initially, reaction (6) is likely to proceed in a similar way.

The products 2a-c, 3a-d, and 4 are thermally rather stable. The least stable one, 4, decomposes at 140°C into diborane and the borazine (HBNtBu)₃ (Equation 8). A protolytic cleavage of 4 can be achieved by $tBuNH_2$, according to Equation 9.

STRUCTURE OF 3c

The structure of the molecule **3c** in the crystal (Tables 1 and 2, Figure 1) is characterized by a planar five-membered ring with four of the neighboring atoms in the same and one (Hc) almost in the same



 TABLE 1
 Positional Parameters and their Estimated

 Standard Deviations of 3c

Atom	x	у	Z	B(iso)*
N1	0.9161(2)	0.8916(1)	0.661	3.86(4)
N2	0.7753(1)	0.9959(1)	0.6665(6)	3.84(4)
C1	1.1132(2)	0.9822(2)	0.661(1)	5.16(7)
C2	1.1473(2)	1.0719(2)	0.669(1)	6.25(8)
C3	1.2674(2)	1.0917(2)	0.665(1)	6.79(8)
C4	1.3002(3)	1.1781(3)	0.651(1)	9.3(1)
C11	0.9628(2)	0.8053(2)	0.6609(9)	4.37(6)
C12	1.0339(3)	0.7900(2)	0.5188(5)	6.00(9)
C13	0.8722(3)	0.7403(2)	0.660(1)	6.84(8)
C14	1.0341(3)	0.7948(2)	0.8144(6)	7.7(1)
C21	0.6639(2)	1.0349(2)	0.669(1)	4.26(5)
C22	0.6544(2)	1.0891(2)	0.5172(5)	5.50(8)
C23	0.6491(3)	1.0902(3)	0.8109(5)	7.3(1)
C24	0.5734(2)	0.9697(2)	0.667(1)	7.53(9)
B1	0.9837(2)	0.9662(2)	0.663(1)	3.81(6)
B2	0.8754(2)	1.0452(2)	0.666(1)	4.15(6)
B3	0.7995(2)	0.9096(2)	0.665(1)	4.04(6)
Ha	0.954(2)	1.023(1)	0.562(3)	0.1(5)
Hb	0.925(2)	1.011(2)	0.771(3)	1.0(6)
Hc	0.736(2)	0.863(1)	0.694(4)	1.2(5)
Hd	0.881(2)	1.114(1)	0.695(4)	1.4(6)
^a Anise	otropically refine	d atoms are giv	en in the form	of their iso-
tropic e	quivalent displa	cement parame	ter, defined as	: B(iso) =

 $(4/3)[a^2 \cdot B(1,1) + b^2 \cdot B(2,2) + c^2 \cdot B(3,3)].$

plane. There are three larger ring angles at N1, N2, and B3 (111.5°–113.5°) and two smaller ones at B1 and B2 (100.1°, 102.4°). The four BN bond lengths, 1.421–1.453 Å, are slightly longer than normal BN double bonds but are comparable in size with the BN bonds in diazadiboretidines (RBNR')₂ and borazines (RBNR')₃ [6]; apparently, the hydrogen bridge does not prevent adjacent BN π bonds. The distances between boron and the terminal H atoms (B2–Hd: 1.14 Å, B3–Hc: 1.09 Å) are similar to those in B₂H₆ (1.09 Å) [7]. The geometry of the hydrogen bridge, however, is different from B₂H₆: Only one of the bridging H atoms is found in a plane nearly perpendicular to the ring plane (angle between the planes B1–Hb–B2 and B1–B2–B3: 88(2)°), but the second one is situated outside (interplanar angle B1–Ha–B2/B1–B2–B3: 115(1)°). BH bonds of rather different lengths (1.20–1.36 Å) constitute an unsymmetrical bridging situation (1.24 Å in B₂H₆) with a BB bond length (1.824 Å) within the broad range of cluster BB bonds [8].

DISCUSSION

The products 2–4 may be considered as derivatives of a cyclic diaminodiborane(6). In an acyclic diaminodiborane(6) the amino groups would be expected to enter the bridge position. 1,2:1,2-Doubly bridged diboranes are well known, putting up either or medium size have only recently been synthe-[10] with a common BH₂B-edge. According to the electron-counting rules for clusters, the products **2–4** may also be conceived as *arachno*-derivatives of a pentagonal bipyramid as the corresponding closo-cluster skeleton. Azaborane clusters of small or medium size have only recently been synthesized, including organic derivatives of nido-NB₃H₆ [11], nido-N₂B₄H₆ [12], and arachno-NB₆H_{10⁻} [13]. In all of them, and in 2-4 as well, the maximum coordination number of the N atoms remains three or four, and the nitrogen-containing fragment of such a cluster skeleton might be considered to be built up "classically," that is by two-center-twoelectron bonds. The situation is different with larger azaborane cluster molecules like nido-NC₂B₈H₁₁ [14], closo-NB₉H₁₀ [15], and nido-NB₁₀H₁₃ [16], which contain five-coordinated nitrogen.

TABLE 2 Selected Bond Distances X–Y (Å) and Bond Angles X–Y–Z (°) of $3c^a$

B1-B2 1.824(3)	N1-C11 1.501(2)	N2-B2-Hb 100(1)
B2-N2 1.446(3)	C11-C12 1.496(7)	B1-Ha-B2 88(2)
N2-B3 1.421(2)	C11-C13 1.514(3)	B1-Hb-B2 91(2)
B3-N1 1.436(3)	C11-C14 1.564(7)	Ha-B1-Hb 85(1)
N1-B1 1.453(2)	N2-C21 1.482(2)	Ha-B2-Hb 90(1)
B1-Ha 1.30(2)	C21-C22 1.557(8)	N2-B2-Hd 125.8(9)
B1-Hb 1.36(3)	C21-C23 1.504(8)	Ha-B2-Hd 111(1)
B2Ha 1.34(2)	C21-C24 1.514(3)	Hb-B2-Hd 104(2)
B2-Hb 1.20(3)	N1-B1-B2 100.1(1)	N1-B3-Hc 123.6(9)
B2-Hd 1.14(2)	B1-B2-N2 102.4(2)	N2-B3-Hc 121(1)
B3-Hc 1.09(2)	B2-N2-B3 111.5(2)	B2-B1-C1 126.4(2)
B1-C1 1.582(3)	N2-B3-N1 113.5(2)	N1-B1-C1 133.5(2)
C1-C2 1.506(3)	B3-N1-B1 112.5(1)	B2-N2-C21 121.5(1)
C2-C3 1.483(3)	N1-B1-Ha 114(1)	B3-N2-C21 127.0(2)
C3-C4 1.453(4)	N1-B1-Hb 99(1)	B1-N1-C11 123.8(1)
	N2-B2-Ha 116(1)	B3-N1-C11 123.7(2)

^a Numbers in parentheses are estimated standard deviations in the least significant digit.



FIGURE 1 ORTEP drawing of **3c**. Vibrational ellipsoids are scaled to enclose 30% of the electron density. Carbonbonded hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Apart from protic agents, the product 4 turns out to be of surprising stability. No reactions occurred with Lewis bases such as NEt₃ or PMe₃, oxidizing agents such as I₂, or C₂(CN)₄, reducing agents such as Li, Na, NaBH₄/diglyme, or thf \cdot BH₃, or unsaturated organic molecules such as 2,3-dimethylbutadiene, 3-hexyne, or bis(trimethylsilyl)ethyne. We also did not succeed in capping the ring skeleton of 4 with one or two of any metal fragments that would not contribute further electrons to the cluster skeleton, thus furnishing a *nido*-pentagonal pyramid or *closo*-pentagonal bipyramid; the fragments (OC)₃Cr and (cod)Rh⁺ had been considered proper candidates.

EXPERIMENTAL

4,5-Dialkyl-1,3-di-tert-butyl-4,5- μ -dihydro-1,3diazacyclopentaborane(7) (**2a–c**), 1,3,5,7-tetratert-butyl-1,7-bis(trimethylsilyl)-1,3,5,7-tetraza-2,4,6-triboraheptane (**2e**), 4-alkyl-1,3-di-tertbutyl-4,5- μ -dihydro-1,3-diazacyclopentaorane(7) (**3a–d**), and 1,3-di-tert-butyl-4,5- μ -dihydro-1,3-diazacyclopentaborane(7) (**4**)

As starting materials, the diazadiboretidines 1a-d were synthesized according to known procedures from the aminoiminoborane [Me₃Si(*t*Bu)N]BN(*t*Bu) and the appropriate alkylchloroborane RBCl₂ (1a-c) [2, 4], or simply by cyclodimerization of the corresponding iminoborane (1d) [5]. The compounds **1a-d** were dissolved in 10 mL pentane. BH₃ in a 0.95 molar solution in thf was added at -78° C in a stoichiometric amount with respect to Equations 1-4. The stirred solution was brought to ambient temperature during 30 min. Further stirring was applied for 2 h in the case of **3a-c**, 5 h in the case of **3d**, and 2 h in the case of **4**. The normal work-up was to remove all volatile materials in the high vacuo and to distill the product. The solid product 2e was pure without sublimation or recrystallization. The crystalline product **3c** was recrystallized from ether. The liquid product 4 was purified after removing the solvents at 25°C/15 Torr by a condensation in vacuo at room temperature; the fraction between 1 and 10 Torr turned out to be spectroscopically clean. The amounts of starting material and the boiling points, yields, NMR spectra, and analytical data of the products are mentioned in Table 3. In order to gain 2e from the corresponding iminoborane (Equation 5), the iminoborane was first prepared from 3.67 g (11.5 mmol) aminoborane [Me₃Si(tBu)N]₂BF [2]. Without removing Me₃SiF, the iminoborane was dissolved in 10 mL pentane, and 20.3 mL of a 0.85 molar solution of BH₃ in thf were added at -78°C. After stirring the cold solution for 10 min, all volatile products were removed in high vacuo. There remained 2.50 g (93%) of colorless solid 2e. When the product 3d was prepared from the iminoborane $tBuB \equiv NtBu$ [5], 2.85 g (11.5 mmol) of a mixture of $tBuB \equiv NtBu$ and the unremoved silane Me₃SiCl in 10 mL pentane were cooled down to -78°C, and 13.5 mL of the 0.85 molar solution of BH_3 in thf were added. After stirring the cold solution for 30 min, the solvent was removed in vacuo, and 1.18 g (87%) 3d were distilled. Starting from 1.37 g (5.5 mmol) of a mixture of tBuB=NtBu and Me₃SiCl and from 19.5 mL of a 0.85 molar solution of BH_3 in thf, the same procedure but with an additional stirring at room temperature for 2 days gave 0.35 g (70%) 4 after fractional condensation.

Thermolysis and Aminolysis of 4

After heating 0.58 g (3.2 mmol) of **4** in a closed tube for 6 h at 140°C, the gaseous product B_2H_6 was trapped at -196°C. An amount of 0.49 g (92%) of 1,3,5-tri-*tert*-butylborazine was recovered from sublimation at 30°C/0.001 Torr and identified by its well-known properties [17].

A mixture of 0.38 g (2.15 mmol) of 4 and 0.315 g (4.3 mmol) *tert*-butylamine in 10 mL hexane was stirred at 25°C for 1 h. A precipitate of 0.16 g (86%) *tert*-butylamine-borane, *t*BuNH₂ · BH₃, was gained by filtration and was characterized by its ¹H and ¹¹B NMR spectra [18]. After removing the solvent from the filtrate, a distillation at 50°C/2 Torr gave 0.235 g (70%) of bis(*tert*-butylamino)borane, HB(NH*t*Bu)₂. ¹H-NMR: $\delta = 1.10$ (s, 18 H, *t*Bu), 2.67

	famme for any								
	2a	3	2c	2e	За	35	3c	3d	4
Preparative Data 1a-e (g/mmol) bp (°C/Torr)	2.58/10.6 65/0.001	5.15/20.6 70/0.001	2.74/9.8 100/0.001	0.98/2.2	2.50/11.3 45/5	2.76/11.0 51/0.02	0.63/2.2 e	3.34/12.2 70/0.001	3.49/14.0
Yield (g/%)	1.99/79	5.24/97	1.87/65	0.86/86	1.65/71	1.47/59	0.46/90	2.01/12	2.38/95
NMR: δ [ppm] ¹ H tBu (s)	1.31	1.40	1.32	1.27′	1.29, 1.34	1.30, 1.37	1.30, 1.36	1.30, 1.34	1.31
R (broad)	1.08	0.8-1.7	0.6-1.6	0.18′	1.07	1.0-1.4	0.9-1.4	1.16	
H, (q)	4.82	4.90	5.0	4.93′	4.0, 4.9	4.2, 4.8	4.2, 4.8	4.2, 4.9	$4.5, 5.0^{g}$
H _b (broad)	2.1	2.1	2.1		2.0	2.2	2.15	2.1	2.0
¹¹ B BR (broad)	11.1	10.6	10.5	37.1	15.6	14.1	15.1	17.2	
BH (d ^{*)}) BH (d ^{**})	31.4(137)	31.8(120)	31.8(125)		31.3(129) 2.1(104)	31.4(130) 1.6(106)	31.7(100) 0.6(120)	33.7(148) -0.5(120)	32.1(129) [,] 2.2(132) [,]
¹³ C fBu (a)	34.0	34.0	34.0	32.9, 33.4	33.3, 33.7	33.5, 33.9	33.2, 33.7	33.2, 35.0	33. <u>3</u>
ťBu (s)	53.5	53.5	53.5	54.5, 55.0	51.6, 53.6	51.8, 53.7	51.6, 53.4	51.7, 53.8	51.9
BC (broad)	8.6	19.3	15.4		9.1	20.1	25.6	20.8	
R (q)	13.3	17.8	14.0	6.3	11.9	17.4	14.0	32.2	
R (t)		22.9	26.4, 31.9			21.6	28.7, 30.4		
IR: <i>뒏</i> [cm ^{- 1}]	2578, 2486 1762	2589, 2460 1735	2589, 2459 1736	2447, 2361 2340	2579, 2481 1564	2580, 2485 1564	2581, 2477 1564	2580, 2476 1564	2574, 2358 1563
Analytical Data	61 1/61 1	63 7/63 0	EE B/EE 1	56 7/56 D	57 8/56 0	50 6/50 5	61 1/61 5	61 1/60 7	53 5/54 0
H (calcd./found)	13.2/13.3	13.4/13.4	13.5/13.4	12.3/12.4	13.1/13.3	13.2/13.5	13.2/13.5	13.2/13.3	12.9/12.8
N (calcd./found)	11.9/11.9	10.6/10.6	9.6/9.6	12.0/12.0	13.5/13.3	12.6/12.2	11.9/11.8	11.9/12.1	15.6/15.5
^a Bruker WP80 (¹	H, 80 MHz, int.	. TMS); Jeol JN	M PS 100 (¹¹ B,	32.08 MHz, e)	xt. BF₃ · Et₂O);	Bruker WH 270) (¹³ C, 67.88 M	Hz, TMS via C	DCl ₃); solvent:
CDCI3. ^b Bothin Flans: F1	1 1700		-						
Carlo Erba Elen	i r zu: range: iental Analyzer	100							
" mp 68°C.	Ćů								
At -50°C; 3s, 12	2:6:1.								
^g 2 q, 2:1									
" J _{BH} [HZ] IN pare 2 d, 1:2.	ntheses.								

TABLE 3 Preparative, NMR,^a IR,^b and Analytical Data^c of the Products 2-4

(broad, 2 H, NH); ¹¹B-NMR: $\delta = 26.1$ (d, J = 129 Hz); MS: m/e = 156 (M⁺, 16%), 141 (M – Me, 100%), and so on. The sublimation of the distillation residue at 30°C/0.001 Torr yields 0.16 g (91%) of 1,3,5-tri-*tert*-butylborazine [17].

Crystal and Molecular Structure of 3c

A single crystal of **3c**, $C_{12}H_{31}B_3N_2$, of approximate dimensions $0.4 \times 0.7 \times 1.0$ mm³ was used for both geometry and intensity data collection on an ENRAF Nonius CAD4 diffractometer. The compound crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group $Pna2_1$, No. 33, with the following cell parameters: a = 12.057(6), b = 16.120(78), c = 8.45(1) Å, V =1641(3)Å³, $d_{calc} = 0.954$ g/cm³, Z = 4, $\mu = 0.494$ cm⁻¹, F(000) = 528. MoK_a radiation (0.7093 Å, graphite monochromator) was used to collect 2366 reflections with $3^{\circ} < \theta < 30^{\circ}$ in the omega-scan-mode: 1570 reflections showed $I > 2\sigma(I)$, and of these 1238 were symmetrically independent and were used for structure solution and subsequent refinement. The structure was solved by Direct Methods (MULTAN) [19] and a difference Fourier synthesis. In the final full-matrix refinement (SDP) [20] all nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and the four boron-bound hydrogen atoms isotropically; the rest of the hydrogen atoms were included according to a "riding" model (C—H = 0.98 Å, B_H = 1.3 · B_c). A correction for secondary extinction was applied on F_c [21]. Refinement converged at R = 0.054, $R_w =$ 0.065 for 170 parameters; $w^{-1} = \sigma^2(F)$. The highest residual electron density was 0.2 e/Å³ [22].

REFERENCES

- K. Delpy, D. Schmitz, P. Paetzold, Chem. Ber., 116, 1983, 2994.
- [2] P. Paetzold, E. Schröder, G. Schmid, R. Boese, Chem. Ber., 118, 1985, 3205.
- [3] K. Delpy, H.-U. Meier, P. Paetzold, C. von Plotho, Z. Naturforsch., 39b, 1984, 1696.
- [4] P. Schreyer, P. Paetzold, R. Boese, Chem. Ber., 121, 1988, 195.
- [5] P. Paetzold, C. von Plotho, G. Schmid, R. Boese, B. Schrader, D. Bougeard, U. Pfeiffer, R. Gleiter, W. Schäfer, *Chem. Ber.*, 117, 1984, 1089.
- [6] P. Paetzold, Adv. Inorg. Chem., 31, 1987, 123.

- [7] D. S. Jones, W. N. Lipscomb, J. Chem. Phys., 51, 1969, 3133.
- [8] R. A. Beaudet: The Molecular Structures of Boranes and Carboranes in J. F. Liebman, A. Greenberg, R. E. Williams (eds): Advances in Boron and the Boranes, VCH, Weinheim, pp. 417-490 (1986).
- [9] R. Köster: Organobor-Wasserstoff-Verbindurgen in R. Köster (ed): Houben-Weyl, Methoden der Organischen Chemie, Vol. XIII/3a, Thieme, Stuttgart, pp. 321-378 (1982).
- [10] T. Mennekes, P. Paetzold, R. Boese, Angew. Chem., 102, 1990, 900; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 29, 1990, 910.
- [11] P. Paetzold, B. Redenz-Stormanns, R. Boese, M. Bühl, P. von Ragué Schleyer, Angew. Chem., 102, 1990, 1059; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 29, 1990, 1059.
- [12] R. Boese, B. Kröckert, P. Paetzold, Chem. Ber., 120, 1987, 1913.
- [13] S. Küpper, P. Paetzold, Chem. Ber., 122, 1989, 479.
- [14] J. Plešek, B. Štibr, S. Heřmánek, Chem. Ind., 1974, 662; J. Plešek, S. Heřmánek, J. Huffman, P. Ragatz, R. Schaeffer, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., 1975, 935.
- [15] A. Arafat, J. Baer, J. C. Huffmann, L. J. Todd, Inorg. Chem., 25, 1986, 3757.
- [16] J. Müller, P. Paetzold, R. Boese, *Heteroatom Chem.*, 1, 1990, 461.
- [17] A. Meller, E. Schaschel, Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Letters, 2, 1966, 41.
- [18] J. M. von Paasschen, M. G. Hu, L. A. Peacock, R. A. Geanangel, Syn. Reactiv. Inorg. Metal-Org. Chem., 4, 1974, 11; R. Schaeffer in H. Steinberg, A. L. Mc-Closkey (eds): Boron Chemistry 1, Pergamon, Oxford, p. 454 (1964).
- [19] P. Main, S. J. Fiske, S. E. Hull, L. Lessinger, G. Germain, J. P. Declerq, M. M. Woolfson: MULTAN 80, A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X Ray Diffraction Data, Universities of York and Louvain, 1980.
- [20] B. A. Frenz: The ENRAF-NONIUS CAD4-SDP—a Real-Time System for Concurrent X-Ray Data Collection and Crystal Structure Determination in H. Schenk, R. Olthof-Hazekamp, H. van Koningsveld, and G. C. Bassi (eds): Computing in Crystallography, Delft University, SDP-PLUS, Version 1.1, 1984, and VAXSDP, Version 2.2, 1985.
- [21] W. H. Zachariasen, Acta Cryst., 10, 1963, 1139.
- [22] A request for additional data may be directed to Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe, Gesellschaft für wissenschaftlich-technische Information mbH, D-7514 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen with a citation of the registration number CSD 54816, the names of the authors, and the journal.